UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	,

	V.	OF	RDER OF DETENTION PE	NDING TRIAL	
	Ulises Lopez-Perez	Case Numbe	r: <u>08-6021M</u>		
present and wa	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. as represented by counsel. I conclude defendant pending trial in this cas	le by a preponderance of the			
		FINDINGS OF FACT			
I find by a prep	onderance of the evidence that:				
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the	he United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.			
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the	charged offense, was in the United States illegally.			
	If released herein, the defendar Enforcement, placing him/her beyon otherwise removed.	dant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs eyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported			
	The defendant has no significant of	contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior crimina	ne defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mex	ico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty ap substantial family ties to Mexico.	plicant but has no substant	ial ties in Arizona or in the	e United States and has	
	There is a record of prior failure to	appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade	e law enforcement contact b	y fleeing from law enforcen	nent.	
	The defendant is facing a maximu	m of	_ years imprisonment.		
The Coat the time of t	ourt incorporates by reference the m he hearing in this matter, except as	aterial findings of the Pretrial noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		ere reviewed by the Cour	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the de No condition or combination of co	fendant will flee.	ure the appearance of the o	defendant as required.	
a corrections fa appeal. The do of the United S defendant to the	efendant is committed to the custody acility separate, to the extent practical efendant shall be afforded a reasonal tates or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the pure	of the Attorney General or I able, from persons awaiting o able opportunity for private co r the Government, the perso pose of an appearance in co ALS AND THIRD PARTY RE	nis/her designated represer r serving sentences or bein- onsultation with defense count in charge of the correction connection with a court proce ELEASE	g held in custody pending unsel. On order of a cour ns facility shall deliver the eeding.	
deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of of the motion for review/reconsiderary	tion to Pretrial Services at lea	ast one day prior to the hear	ring set before the District	
Services suffic	URTHER ORDERED that if a releas iently in advance of the hearing be potential third party custodian.	e to a third party is to be con fore the District Court to allo	sidered, it is counsel's resp ow Pretrial Services an opp	onsibility to notify Pretria portunity to interview and	
DATI	ED this 20 th day of February	v, 2008.			

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge